arXiv:1202.3041v1 [math.PR] 14 Feb 2012

Limit theorems for additive functionals of stationary fields, under integrability assumptions on the higher order spectral densities*

Florin Avram[†], Nikolai Leonenko[‡], Ludmila Sakhno[§]

February 15, 2012

Abstract

We prove central limit theorems for additive functionals of stationary fields under integrability conditions on the higher-order spectral densities, which are derived using the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality.

AMS 2000 Classification: 60F05, 62M10, 60G15, 62M15, 60G10, 60G60
Keywords: integral of random fields, asymptotic normality, higher-order spectral densities, Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality.

1 Introduction

Motivation. Consider a real measurable stationary in the strict sense random field X_t, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with $\mathbb{E}X_t = 0$, and $\mathbb{E}|X_t|^k < \infty$, $k = 2, 3, ...$
Assumption A: We will assume throughout the existence of all order cumulants $c_k(t_1, t_2, ..., t_k)$ for our stationary random field X_t, and also that they are representable as Fourier transforms of "cumulant spectral densities" $f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)})$, $k = 2, 3, ...$, i.e:

$$c_k(t_1, t_2, ..., t_k) = c_k(t_1 - t_k, .., t_{k-1} - t_k, 0) =$$

$$= \int_{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}} e^{i \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_j (t_j - t_k)} f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) \, d\lambda_1 ... d\lambda_{k-1}.$$

Note: The functions $f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1})$ are symmetric and may be complex valued in general.

---

[*] Partly supported by the Welsh Institute of Mathematics and Computational Sciences and the Commission of the European Communities grant PIRSES-GA-2008-230804 within the programme 'Marie Curie Actions'.

[†] Dept. de Math., Universite de Pau, France, E-mail: Florin.Avram@univ-Pau.fr

[‡] Cardiff School of Mathematics, Cardiff University, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff, CF24 4AG, UK, Email: LeonenkoN@Cardiff.ac.uk

[§] Dept. of Probability, Statistics and Actuarial Mathematics, Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University, Ukraine, Email:lms@univ.kiev.ua

Central limit theorems for stationary fields have been derived traditionally starting with the simplest cases of Gaussian or moving average processes, via the method of moments based on explicit computations of the spectral densities. We are able to treat here general stationary fields, by making use of the powerful Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb (HYBL) inequality. Discussion of different approaches for derivation of CLT for stationary processes and fields can be found, for example, in [6].

The problem: Let the random field X_t be observed over a sequence K_T of increasing dilations of a bounded convex set K of positive Lebesgue measure $|K| > 0$, containing the origin, i.e.

$$K_T = TK, \quad T \to \infty.$$

Note that $|K_T| = T^d |K|$.

We investigate the asymptotic normality of the integrals

$$S_T = \int_{t \in K_T} X_t dt \tag{1}$$

and the integrals with a some weight function

$$S_T^w = \int_{t \in K_T} w(t) X_t dt \tag{2}$$

as $T \to \infty$, without imposing any extra assumption on the structure of the field such as linearity, etc. We will not also introduce any kind of mixing conditions. We will establish central limit theorems for S_T and S_T^w , appropriately normalized, by the method of moments. Namely, we will consider the cumulants of integrals (1) and (2), represent them in the spectral domain, and evaluate their asymptotic behavior basing on some analytic tools provided by harmonic analysis. In such a way, via the spectral approach, all conditions needed to prove the results will be concerned with integrability of the spectral densities $f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1})$, $k = 2, 3, ...$.

Taking consideration of the cumulants of S_T (or S_T^w) in the spectral domain one is lead to deal with some kind of convolutions of spectral densities with particular kernel functions (see formulas for the cumulants (9) and (40) below). Similar convolutions have been studied in the series of papers [1] - [6], under the name of Fejer matroid/graph integrals.

Estimates for this kind of convolutions follow from the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality which, under prescribed conditions on the integrability indices for a set of functions $f_i \in L_{p_i}(S, d\mu)$, $i = 1, ..., n$, allows to write upper bounds for the integrals of the form

$$\int_{S^m} \prod_{i=1}^{k} f_i(l_i(x_1, ..., x_m)) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mu(dx_j) \tag{3}$$

with $l_i : S^m \to S$ being linear functionals (where S may be either torus $[-\pi, \pi]^d$, Z^d, or $\mathbb{R}^d$ endowed with the corresponding Haar measure $\mu(dx)$).

An even more powerful tool, which we will need in this paper, is provided by the nonhomogeneous Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality, which covers the case when the above functions f_i are defined over the spaces of different dimensions: $f_i : S^{n_i} \to \mathbb{R}$ (see Appendix A).
Contents: We state limit theorems for the integrals (1) and (2) in Sections 2 and 5 respectively, with discussion of the assumptions used and of some possible applications. The example of Gaussian fields is discussed in Section 3, and an invariance principle provided in Section 4. The Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality used to prove our results is presented in Appendix A.

2 Main results and discussion

Given a sequence K_T of increasing dilations of a bounded convex set K of positive Lebesgue measure $|K| > 0$, containing the origin, let us consider the uniform distribution on K_T with the density

$$p_{K_T}(t) = \frac{1}{|K_T|} 1_{\{t \in K_T\}}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and characteristic function

$$\phi_T(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{K_T}(t) e^{it\lambda} dt = \frac{1}{|K_T|} \int_{K_T} e^{it\lambda} dt, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Define the Dirichlet type kernel

$$\Delta_T(\lambda) = \int_{t \in K_T} e^{it\lambda} dt = |K_T| \phi_T(\lambda), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{4}$$

Denote

$$\Delta_1(\lambda) = \int_{t \in K} e^{it\lambda} dt, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{5}$$

We will need the following assumption:

Assumption K: The bounded convex set K is such that:

$$C_p(K) := \|\Delta_1(\lambda)\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Delta_1(\lambda)|^p d\lambda \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad \forall p > p_* \geq 1.$$

Remark 1 Assumption K and scaling imply

$$\|\Delta_T(\lambda)\|_p = T^{d(1-1/p)} C_p(K). \tag{6}$$

Remark 2 The constants $C_p(K)$ and p_* in Assumption K depend on Gaussian curvature of the set K. This fact goes back to Van der Corput when $d = 2$ – see Herz (1962), Sadikova (1966), and Stein (1986) for extensions and further references.

The explicit formula for $C_p(K)$ when K is a cube: $K = [-1/2, 1/2]^d$, is known: $C_p(K) = C_p^d$, where $C_p = \left(2\int_R |\frac{\sin(z)}{z}|^p dz\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall p > 1$. Note that in this case $p_* = 1$, and $C_{p_1} > C_{p_2}$ for $p_1 < p_2$. For a ball $K_T = B_T = \{t \in R^d : \|t\| \le T/2\}$ it is known that

$$\Delta_T(\lambda) = \int_{B_T} e^{it\lambda} dt = \left(2\pi\frac{T}{2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} J_{d/2}\left(\|\lambda\| \frac{T}{2}\right) / \|\lambda\|^{d/2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $J_\nu(z)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind and order ν, and

$$C_p(K) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}\ 2^{-d(1-\frac{1}{p})} |s(1)|^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty \rho^{d-1} \left|\frac{J_{\frac{d}{2}}(\rho)}{\rho^{d/2}}\right|^p d\rho\right)^{1/p}, \ p > \frac{2d}{d+1},$$

where $|s(1)|$ is the surface area of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \ge 2$. In this case $p_* = \frac{2d}{d+1} > 1, d \ge 2$.

The derivation of the central limit theorem for the integrals (1) will be based on the above estimates for the norms of functions $\Delta_T(\lambda)$ and the important property of these functions stated in the next lemma.

Lemma 1 *The function*

$$\Phi_T^{(2)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d |K| T^d} \left|\int_{t \in K_T} e^{it\lambda} dt\right|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d |K| T^d} \Delta_T(\lambda)\Delta_T(-\lambda), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

possesses the kernel properties (or is an approximate identity for convolution):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_T^{(2)}(\lambda) d\lambda = 1, \tag{7}$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ when $T \to \infty$

$$\lim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \varepsilon K} \Phi_T^{(2)}(\lambda) d\lambda = 0. \tag{8}$$

Proof.

The first relation (7) follows from (4) and Plancherel theorem. From Hertz(1962) and Sadikova(1966) one derives the following assertion: if K is a convex set and $\partial^{(d-1)}\{K\}$ is its surface area, then for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_{\|\lambda\| > \epsilon} \left|\int_{t \in K} e^{it\lambda} dt\right|^2 d\lambda \le \frac{8}{\epsilon} \partial^{(d-1)}\{K\} \left[\int_0^\pi \sin^d z dz\right]^{-1}$$

is valid. This inequality and homothety properties yields the second relation (8), see also Ivanov and Leonenko (1986), p.25).

The cumulant of order $k \geq 2$ of the normalized integral S_T is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_T^{(k)} &= cum_k \left\{ \frac{S_T}{T^{d/2}}, ..., \frac{S_T}{T^{d/2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{T^{dk/2}} \int_{t \in K_T} ... \int_{t \in K_T} c_k(t_1 - t_k, .., t_{k-1} - t_k, 0) dt_1 ... dt_k \\ &= \frac{1}{T^{dk/2}} \int_{\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}} f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_T(\lambda_1) ... \Delta_T(\lambda_{k-1}) \Delta_T \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_i \right) d\lambda_1 ... d\lambda_{k-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\Delta_T(\lambda)$ is the Dirichlet type kernel (4).

To evaluate the second-order cumulant $I_T^{(2)}$ we will need one more assumption.

Assumption B: The second-order spectral density $f_2(\lambda)$ is bounded and continuous and

$$f_2(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbb{E} X_t X_0) \, dt \neq 0.$$

Under the assumption B we obtain from (9) and Lemma 1 as $T \to \infty$

$$cum_2 \left\{ \frac{S_T}{T^{d/2}}, \frac{S_T}{T^{d/2}} \right\} = Var \left\{ \frac{S_T}{T^{d/2}} \right\} = (2\pi)^d \, |K| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_T^{(2)}(\lambda) f_2(\lambda) d\lambda \to$$

$$\to (2\pi)^d \, |K| \, f_2(0). \tag{10}$$

To evaluate the integral (9) for $k \geq 3$ we apply the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality (see Theorem A1 in Appendix A).

Comparing (9) and l.h.s. of (GH), we have in (9): $H = \mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}$ and $k+1$ functions $g_1 = g_2 = ... = g_k = \Delta_T$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $g_{k+1} = f_k$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}$; linear transformations in our case are as follows: for $x = (x_1, ..., x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}$ $l_j(x) = x_j$, $j = 1, ..., k-1$, $l_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j$, $l_{k+1}(x) = \mathrm{Id}$ (identity on $\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}$).

Suppose there exists $z = (z_1, ..., z_{k+1}) \in [0,1]^{k+1}$ such that condition (C1) of Theorem A1 is satisfied:

$$d(z_1 + ... + z_k) + d(k-1) z_{k+1} = d(k-1), \tag{11}$$

with

$$z_1 = ... = z_k = \frac{1}{p_1}, \; z_{k+1} = \frac{1}{p_{k+1}},$$

where p_1 falls in the range for which Assumption K holds, and p_{k+1} is the integrability index of the spectral density f_k, that is, suppose $f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) \in L_{p_{k+1}}(\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)})$.

Let us check that condition (C2) will be satisfied as well with such a choice of $z = (z_1, ..., z_{k+1})$. For $\forall V \subset \mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}$ we must have

$$\dim V \le \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} z_j \dim(l_j(V)), \tag{12}$$

the r.h.s. is equal to $z_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \dim(l_j(V)) + z_{k+1} \dim(l_{k+1}(V))$, where, with the above choice of the linear transformations, we have $\dim(l_j(V)) = d$, $j = 1, ..., k$, $\dim(l_{k+1}(V)) = \dim(V)$, that is, (12) becomes

$$\dim V \le z_1 kd + z_{k+1} \dim(V),$$

or, taking into account that $(z_1, ..., z_{k+1})$ have chosen to satisfy (11),

$$\dim V \le \frac{z_1 kd}{1 - z_{k+1}} = \frac{d(k-1)\,(1 - z_{k+1})}{1 - z_{k+1}} = d(k-1),$$

which holds indeed for $\forall V \subset \mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}$.

Then applying the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality (and taking into account (6)) we have for some $C > 0$

$$\left| I_T^{(k)} \right| \le C T^{kd(1-\frac{1}{p_1}) - \frac{kd}{2}} C_{p_1}^k(K) ||f_k||_{p_{k+1}} \tag{13}$$

for $\forall p_1 > p_* \ge 1$ and p_{k+1} satisfuing (11).

If $p_* < 2$, we can chose $p_1 = 2$ and come to the bound

$$\left| I_T^{(k)} \right| \le C C_2^k(K) ||f_k||_{p_{k+1}}, \tag{14}$$

for such a choice of p_1, the corresponding index p_{k+1} we obtain from (11):

$$p_{k+1} = \frac{2(k-1)}{k-2},\ k \ge 3. \tag{15}$$

However, we are able to prove that, in fact, $I_T^{(k)} \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$ (that is, bound in (14) can be strengthen to the form $o(1)$), requiring still $p_* < 2$ and $f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) \in L_{p_{k+1}}(\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)})$ with the same p_{k+1} given by (15).

Indeed, let us chose in (11) $\tilde{p}_1 = ... = \tilde{p}_{k-2} = 2$ (that is, $\tilde{z}_1 = ... = \tilde{z}_{k-2} = \frac{1}{2}$) and $\tilde{p}_{k-1} = \tilde{p}_k$ be close but less than 2 ($\tilde{z}_{k-1} = \tilde{z}_k$ close but more than $\frac{1}{2}$).

Then the bound (13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left| I_T^{(k)} \right| &\le C T^{d(1-\frac{2}{\tilde{p}_k})} C_2^{k-2}(K) C_{\tilde{p}_k}^2(K) ||f_k||_{\tilde{p}_{k+1}} \\ &= C T^{-\varepsilon d} C_2^{k-2}(K) C_{\tilde{p}_k}^2(K) ||f_k||_{\tilde{p}_{k+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{\tilde{p}_k} - 1 > 0$ and corresponding $\tilde{p}_{k+1}$, obtained from (11), will be such that

$$\tilde{p}_{k+1} > p_{k+1} = \frac{2(k-1)}{k-2},\ k \ge 3 \tag{17}$$

(note that we do not need here the exact expressions for $\tilde{p}_k$ and $\tilde{p}_{k+1}$).

Therefore, for the functions $f_k \in L_{\tilde{p}_{k+1}}$ we have $I_T^{(k)} \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$, for $k \geq 3$.

Remembering that we are interested in evaluating (9) for the functions f_k which are in L_1 (as being spectral densities), we summarize the above reasonings as follows:

(i) for $f_k \in L_1 \cap L_{p_{k+1}}$ we have obtained the bound (14);

(ii) for $f_k \in L_1 \cap L_{\tilde{p}_{k+1}}$ we have obtained the convergence $I_T^{(k)} \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$.

It is left to note that

(iii) $L_1 \cap L_{\tilde{p}_{k+1}}$ is dense in $L_1 \cap L_{p_{k+1}}$ (see (17))

to conclude that the convergence $I_T^{(k)} \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$ holds for functions from $L_1 \cap L_{p_{k+1}}$ as well.

Indeed, for $f_k \in L_1 \cap L_{p_{k+1}}$ and $g_k \in L_1 \cap L_{\tilde{p}_{k+1}}$ we can write

$$\left| I_T^{(k)}(f_k) \right| \leq \left| I_T^{(k)}(f_k - g_k) \right| + \left| I_T^{(k)}(g_k) \right|,$$

where the first term can be made arbitrary small with the choice of g_k in view of (i) and (iii), and the second term tends to zero in view of (ii).

Thus, the following central limit theorem is proved by method of cumulants, with conditions formulated in terms of spectral densities.

Theorem 1 *Suppose that Assumptions A, K with $p_* < 2$, and B hold, and for $k \geq 3$*

$$f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) \in L_{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}), \tag{18}$$

where $p_k = \frac{2(k-1)}{k-2}$. Then, as $T \to \infty$

$$\frac{S_T}{T^{d/2}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2), \tag{19}$$

where $\sigma^2 = (2\pi)^d \, |K| \, f_2(0)$.

Remark 3 For balls and cubes the condition $p_* < 2$ holds.

Remark 4 As a consequence of the above theorem we can state that the CLT (19) holds under Assumptions A, K with $p_* < 2$, and B, if the spectral densities $f_k \in L_4(\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)})$, $k \geq 3$. However, Theorem 1 provides more refined conditions, showing that for the central limit theorem to hold the index of integrability of higher order spectral densitiesf_k can become smaller and smaller, approaching to 2 as k grows.

The next remark is about a possible condition of the convex sets in form of the kernel property.

Remark 5 One can assume that the function

$$\Phi_T^{(k)}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d(k-1)} |K|^{k-1} T^d} \Delta_T(\lambda_1)...\Delta_T(\lambda_{k-1})\Delta_T\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\lambda_i\right)$$

has the kernel property on $\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}$ for $k \geq 2$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}} \Phi_T^{(k)}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) d\lambda_1...d\lambda_{k-1} = 1, \tag{20}$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ when $T \to \infty$

$$\lim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)} \diagdown \varepsilon K^{k-1}} \Phi_T^{(k)}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) d\lambda_1...d\lambda_{k-1} = 0. \tag{21}$$

Note that (20), (21) hold for the rectangle $K = \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d$ (see, for instance, Bentkus and Rutkauskas (1973) or Avram, Leonenko and Sakhno (2010) and the references therein). If the higher-order spectral densities $f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}), k \geq 2$ are continuous and bounded and $f_k(0, ..., 0) \neq 0$, then

$$I_T^{(k)} = \frac{(2\pi)^d |K|^{k-1}}{T^{d\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}} \Phi_T^{(k)}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) d\lambda_1...d\lambda_{k-1} \sim$$

$$\sim \frac{(2\pi)^d |K|^{k-1}}{T^{d\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)}} f_k(0, ..., 0),$$

as $T \to \infty$, thus tend to zero for $k \geq 3$, and the central limit theorem, Theorem 1, follows.

3 Gaussian fields

Let us consider how the above method for deriving Theorem 1 can be used in the situation when the field $X(t)$ is a nonlinear transformation of a Gaussian field. Note that this kind of limit theorems, often called in the literature Breuer-Major theorems, have been addressed by many authors. Recently, powerful theory based on Malliavin calculus was exploited in the series of papers by Nualart, Ortiz-Lattore, Nourdin, Peccati, Tudor and others to develop CLTs in the framework of Wiener Chaos via remarkable fourth moment approach (see, for example, [28], [29] and references therein). We show how CLT can be stated quite straightforwardly with the use of the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality.

For a stationary Gaussian filed $X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, consider the field $Y(t) = G(X(t)), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For a quite broad class of functions G, evaluation of asymptotic behavior of the normalized integrals $S_T = \int_{t \in K_T} Y(t)dt$ reduces to consideration of the integrals $\int_{t \in K_T} H_m(X(t))dt$, with a particular m, where $H_m(x)$ is the Hermite polynomial, m is Hermite rank of G (see, i.e., Ivanov and Leonenko (1986), p.55).

To demonstrate the approach based on the use of the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality, we consider here only the case of integrals

$$S_T = S_T(H_2(X(t))) = \int_{t\in K_T} H_2(X(t))dt, \tag{22}$$

where $H_2(x) = x^2 - 1$.

Suppose that the centered Gaussian field $X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, has a spectral density $f(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then we can write the following Wiener-Itô integral representation:

$$H_2(X(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x,\lambda_1+\lambda_2)} \sqrt{f(\lambda_1)}\sqrt{f(\lambda_2)} W(d\lambda_1)W(d\lambda_2), \tag{23}$$

where $W(\cdot)$ is the Gaussian complex white noise measure (with integration on the hyperplanes $\lambda_i = \pm\lambda_j, i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$, being excluded). Applying the formulas for the cumulants of multiple stochastic Wiener-Itô integrals, we have that the spectral density of the second order of the field (23) is given by

$$g_2(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\lambda)f(\lambda+\lambda_1)d\lambda_1,$$

which is well defined if $f(\lambda) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and this condition guarantees also that the Assumption B holds.

Next, the cumulants of the normalized integral (22) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_T^{(k)} &= cum_k\left\{\frac{S_T}{T^{d/2}}, ..., \frac{S_T}{T^{d/2}}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{T^{dk/2}} \int_{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_{k-1}\in\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}} \Delta_T(\lambda_1)...\Delta_T(\lambda_{k-1})\Delta_T\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\lambda_i\right) \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\lambda)f(\lambda+\lambda_1)...f(\lambda+\lambda_1+...+\lambda_{k-1})d\lambda\, d\lambda_1...d\lambda_{k-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Now we can repeat the same reasonings as those for the proof of Theorem 1 to conclude that $I_T^{(k)} \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$, for $k \geq 3$, under the condition $f(\lambda) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Indeed, formula (11) relating the integrability indices p for $\Delta_T(\lambda)$ and q for $f(\lambda)$ becomes in this case of the following form: $dk\frac{1}{p} + dk\frac{1}{q} = dk$, or $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. We need already $f(\lambda)$ to be in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for a proper behavior of the second order cumulant, therefore, choosing $q = 2$, we can take p to be equal 2 as soon as $p_* < 2$ in the Assumption K.

Thus, we derived the known result (see, for example, [23]):

Proposition 1 *If a stationary Gaussian filed $X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, has the spectral density $f(\lambda) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and Assumptions K with $p_* < 2$ holds, then, as $T \to \infty$*

$$\frac{S_T(H_2(X(t)))}{T^{d/2}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2), \tag{25}$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = (2\pi)^d |K| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2(\lambda) d\lambda. \tag{26}$$

As we can see, when taking into consideration the spectral domain, the application of the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality allows to provide a very simple proof. Note also that this kind of technique has been used for linear sequences (which generalize Gaussian fields) as well [5].

Moreover, requiring more regularity on spectral density $f(\lambda)$, we are able to evaluate the rate of convergence (25) in the following way.

Let us consider $\check{S}_T = \frac{S_T(H_2(X(t)))}{(2\pi)^d |K| f_2(0) T^{d/2}}$. We have for $f(\lambda) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the convergence as $T \to \infty$

$$\check{S}_T \xrightarrow{D} N \backsim N(0,1). \tag{27}$$

We can state stronger version for this approximation, namely, that the convergence (27) takes place with respect to the Kolmogorov distance:

$$d_{Kol}(\check{S}_T, N) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(\check{S}_T < z) - P(N < z)| \to 0, \tag{28}$$

and also we can provide an upper bound for $d_{Kol}(\check{S}_T, N)$. For this we apply the results from [28]: since $\check{S}_T$ is representable as a double stochastic Wiener-Itô integral we can use the Proposition 3.8 of [28] which is concerned with normal approximation in second Wiener Chaos and gives upper bounds for the Kolmogorov distance solely in terms of the fourth and second cumulants. This bound is of the form

$$d_{Kol}(\check{S}_T, N) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} cum_4(\check{S}_T) + (cum_2(\check{S}_T) - 1)^2}. \tag{29}$$

So, we need only to control the fourth cumulant of $\check{S}_T$ and this can be done with the use of the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality. Due to this inequality, analogously to our previous derivations, for $f(\lambda) \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $q > 2$, and $\Delta_T(\lambda) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we can write

$$\left| cum_k(\check{S}_T) \right| \leq C T^{kd(1-\frac{1}{p}) - \frac{kd}{2}} C_p^k(K) ||f||_q^k = C T^{kd(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2})} C_p^k(K) ||f||_q^k,$$

therefore,

$$d_{Kol}(\check{S}_T, N) \leq Const\ T^{-\frac{q-2}{q} d},$$

where the constant depends on K and f. Thus, the rate of convergence to the normal law depends on the index of integralbility of $f(\lambda)$, in particular, for $f(\lambda) \in L_4(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we obtain

$$d_{Kol}(\check{S}_T, N) \leq Const\ \frac{1}{T^{d/2}}.$$

The above technique can be also used for deriving CLT for $S_T(H_m(X(t)))$ with $m > 2$.

4 An invariance principle

Let us return now to the case of a general random field $X(t)$ of Assumption A. In order to discuss the invariance principle for the situation above we consider the multiparameter Brownian motion of Chentsov's type (see Samorodnitsky and Taqqu (2004) for example), that is the zero mean Gaussian random field $b(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, such that

(i) $b(t) = 0$, if $t_j = 0$ for at least one $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$;

(ii) $\mathbb{E}b(t_1)b(t_2) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \min\left\{t_1^{(j)}, t_2^{(j)}\right\}, t_l = \left(t_l^{(j)}, j = 1, ..., d\right), l \in \{1, 2\}$.

We introduce the Gaussian process

$$L_K(u) = \left((2\pi)^d f_2(0)\right)^{1/2} \int_{t \in u^{1/d} K} db(t), \quad u \in [0, 1], \tag{30}$$

with zero mean and covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}L_K(u_1)L_K(u_2) = (2\pi)^d f_2(0) \left|u_1^{\frac{1}{d}} K \cap u_2^{\frac{1}{d}} K\right|, \quad u_1, u_1 \in [0, 1].$$

Note that for the ball $K = B_1 = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|t\| \leq 1/2\}$

$$\mathbb{E}L_{B_1}(u_1)L_{B_1}(u_2) = (2\pi)^d f_2(0) |B_1| \min\{u_1, u_2\}, \quad u_1, u_2 \in [0, 1],$$

where $|B_1|$ is the volume of the ball B_1.

If we assume that the stochastic process (30) induces the probabilistic measure P in the space $C[0, 1]$ of continuous functions with the uniform topology, then one can prove the invariance principle for the measures P_T, induced in the space $C[0, 1]$ by the stochastic processes

$$Y_T(u) = \frac{1}{T^{d/2}} \int_{t \in u^{1/d} K_T} X_t dt, \quad u \in [0, 1], \tag{31}$$

that is the under conditions of Theorem 1 the measures P_T converge weakly ($\Longrightarrow$) to the Gaussian measure P in the space $C[0, 1]$ as $T \to \infty$ (see Billingsley (1968) for necessary definitions related to the convergence of probability measures). This can be proved if we introduce the following assumption.

Assumption Φ: The function

$$\Phi_{T_1, T_2}^{(2)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d |K| (T_2^d - T_1^d)} \left| \int_{t \in K_{T_2} \setminus K_{T_1}} e^{it\lambda} dt \right|^2, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{32}$$

has the kernel properties similar to (7), (8) for $T_1 < T_2, T_1 \to \infty$.

Really, in this case one can check that the Kolmogorov's criterion:

$$\mathbb{E}\left|Y_T(u_2) - Y_T(u_1)\right|^4 \leq const \left|u_2 - u_1\right|^2, \ 0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2 \leq 1, \tag{33}$$

of weakly compactness of probability measures $\{P_T\}$ is satisfied (see again Billingsley (1968)).

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_T(v)-Y_T(u)\right|^4 &= \frac{1}{T^{2d}}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t\in v^{1/d}K_T\setminus u^{1/d}K_T} X_t dt\right]^4 \\
&= \frac{1}{T^{2d}}\int_{\widetilde{K}_T^4} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t_1}X_{t_2}X_{t_3}X_{t_4}\right] dt_1dt_2dt_3dt_4 \\
&= \frac{1}{T^{2d}}\int_{\widetilde{K}_T^4} [c_4(t_1-t_4,t_2-t_4,t_3-t_4) \\
&\quad + c_2(t_1-t_2)c_2(t_3-t_4)+c_2(t_1-t_3)c_2(t_2-t_4) \\
&\quad + c_2(t_1-t_4)c_2(t_2-t_3)]dt_1dt_2dt_3dt_4 \\
&= I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4. \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

(We have denoted here $\widetilde{K}_T = v^{1/d}K_T\setminus u^{1/d}K_T$.)

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \frac{1}{T^{2d}}\int_{R^{3d}} f_4(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\prod_{j=1}^{3}\left[\int_{\widetilde{K}_T} e^{it_j\lambda_j}dt_j\right]\int_{\widetilde{K}_T} e^{-it_4\sum_{j=1}^{3}\lambda_j}dt_4d\lambda_1d\lambda_2d\lambda_3 \\
&= \frac{1}{T^{2d}}\int_{R^{3d}} f_4(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\prod_{j=1}^{3}\left[\Delta_{\widetilde{K}_T}(\lambda_j)\right]\Delta_{\widetilde{K}_T}(\sum_{j=1}^{3}\lambda_j)d\lambda_1d\lambda_2d\lambda_3. \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Supposing $f_4\in L_q$, $\Delta_{\widetilde{K}_T}\in L_q$ for $p,q: 4\frac{1}{p}+3\frac{1}{q}=3$ and applying the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality we obtain

$$I_1 \le \frac{1}{T^{2d}}\left\|f_4\right\|_q\left\{\left\|\Delta_{\widetilde{K}_T}\right\|_p\right\}^4. \qquad (36)$$

Choosing $p=2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{\left\|\Delta_{\widetilde{K}_T}\right\|_2\right\}^4 &= \left\{\left\{\int_{R^d}\left|\int_{\widetilde{K}_T} e^{it\lambda}dt\right|^2 d\lambda\right\}^{1/2}\right\}^4 = \left\{\int_{R^d}\left|\int_{\widetilde{K}_T} e^{it\lambda}dt\right|^2 d\lambda\right\}^2 \\
&= \left\{(2\pi)^d|K|((Tv^{1/d})^d-(Tu^{1/d})^d)\int_{R^d}\Phi^{(2)}_{Tu^{1/d},Tv^{1/d}}(\lambda)d\lambda\right\}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, under the assumption $f_4\in L_3(\mathbb{R}^{3d})$ (which is covered by the assumptions of Theorem 1)

$$I_1 \le const\ (v-u)^2.$$

Next, consider

$$\int_{\widetilde{K}_T^2} c_2(t_1-t_2)dt_1dt_2 = \int_{R^d} f_2(\lambda)\int_{\widetilde{K}_T^2} e^{i(t_1-t_2)\lambda}dt_1dt_2d\lambda = \int_{R^d} f_2(\lambda)\left|\Delta_{\widetilde{K}_T}(\lambda)\right|^2 d\lambda.$$

Supposing $f_2(\lambda)$ to be bounded we get

$$\int_{\widetilde{K}_T^2} c_2(t_1-t_2)dt_1dt_2 \leq const \int_{R^d} \left|\Delta_{\widetilde{K}_T}(\lambda)\right|^2 d\lambda = const\ (2\pi)^d|K|T^d(v-u) \tag{37}$$

which implies that each term I_j, $j=2,3,4$ in (34) is bounded by

$$const(2\pi)^d|K|(v-u)^2.$$

Hence, (33) holds if we suppose that the second order spectral density f_2 is bounded, $f_4 \in L_3$ and $\Phi^{(2)}_{T_1,T_2}(\lambda)$ given by (32) has the kernel properties.

If the homogeneous random field $X_t, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is second-order isotropic (it means that the covariance function $\mathbb{E}X_tX_s = B(\|t-s\|)$ depends on the Euclidean distance $\|t-s\|, t,s \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $K_T = B_T = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|t\| \leq T/2\}$ are balls, then the condition (33) and Assumption Φ concerning the kernel properties of $\Phi^{(2)}_{T_1,T_2}(\lambda)$ are satisfied. It follows from the results by Leonenko and Yadrenko (1979) (see also Ivanov and Leonenko (1989), chapter 2), since for balls

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{T_1\leq\|t\|\leq T_2} X_t dt\right]^2 = \frac{4\pi^d}{d\Gamma^2(\frac{d}{2})}\gamma\left(T_2^d - T_1^d\right)(1+o(1)), \quad T_1 \to \infty,$$

if

$$\int_0^\infty z^{d-1}|B(z)|\,dz < \infty, \quad \gamma = \int_0^\infty z^{d-1}B(z)dz \neq 0.$$

We can summarize the above arguments in the next theorem.

Theorem 2 *Suppose that Assumptions A, K, B and Φ hold, and $f_4(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3) \in L_3(\mathbb{R}^{3d})$. Then the familly of measures P_T, induced by the stochastic processes (31) is weakly compact in the space $C[0,1]$.*

Compiling now Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we come to the following result.

Theorem 3 *Suppose that conditions of Theorem 1 and, in addition, Assumptions Φ hold. Then $P_T \Longrightarrow P$ in $C[0,1]$, where the measures P_T and P are induced by the stochastic processes (31) and (30) respectively.*

5 Non-homogeneous random fields

We discuss now the central limit theorem for non-homogeneous random fields of special form.

Assumption C: Assume that a real (weight) function $w(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is (positively) homogeneous of degree β, that is for any $a > 0$ there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$w(at) = w(at_1, ..., at_d) = a^\beta w(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Assumption D: Assume that there exists

$$w_1(\lambda) = \int_{t\in K} w(t)e^{it\lambda}dt,\ \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Under Assumptions C and D

$$w_T(\lambda) = \int_{t\in K_T} w(t)e^{it\lambda}dt = T^{d+\beta}w_1(\lambda T),\ \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Example 1. The function $w_1(t) = \|t\|^{\nu}, \nu \geq 0$, is homogeneous of degree $\beta = \nu$, if $\nu > 0$. For example if $d = 1$, $K = [0,1]$ and $\nu \geq 0$ is an integer,we obtain

$$w_1(\lambda) = \int_{t\in[0,1]} t^{\nu}e^{it\lambda}dt = \frac{1}{i^{\nu}}\frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial\lambda^{\nu}}\int_{t\in[0,1]} e^{it\lambda}dt = \frac{1}{i^{\nu}}\frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial\lambda^{\nu}}\frac{e^{i\lambda}-1}{i\lambda},\ \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

Example 2. Another example of the homogeneous function of degree $\beta > 0$, is $w_2(t) = |t_1 + ... + t_d|^{\nu}$, where again $\beta = \nu$, if $\nu > 0$.

Example 3. The function $w_3(t) = ||t_1|^{\gamma} + .. + .\,|t_d|^{\gamma}|^{\nu}$ is homogeneous of degree $\beta = \nu\gamma$, if $\nu > 0, \gamma > 0$.

Example 4. All arithmetic, geometric and harmonic averages of $|t_1|, ..., |t_d|$ are homogeneous functions of degree one.

Under Assumption C we investigate below the asymptotic normality of integrals

$$S_T^w = \int_{t\in K_T} w(t)X_t dt$$

as $T \to \infty$.

We denote

$$W^2(T) = \int_{t\in K_T} w^2(t)dt = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |w_T(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda. \tag{38}$$

Assumption E: Let the finite measures

$$\mu_T(d\lambda) = \frac{|w_T(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |w_T(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

converge weakly to some finite measure $\mu(d\lambda)$, and the spectral density $f_2(\lambda)$ is positive on set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ of positive μ-measure ($\mu(B) > 0$).

We recall that the weak convergence of probability measures means that for any continuous and bounded function $f(\lambda)$ as $T \to \infty$

$$\lim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\lambda)\mu_T(d\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda).$$

Then we have that the variance

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_T^w}{W(T)}\right]^2 = \frac{1}{W^2(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\lambda)\left[\int_{t_1\in K_T} w(t_1)e^{it_1\lambda}dt_1\right]\overline{\left[\int_{t_2\in K_T} w(t_2)e^{it_2\lambda}dt_2\right]}d\lambda =$$

$$= (2\pi)^d\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_2(\lambda)\mu_T(d\lambda) \to (2\pi)^d\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_2(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda) = \sigma^2 > 0,$$

as $T\to\infty$.

It turns out that we need the following

Assumption F:

$$C_{p,w}(K) := ||w_1(\lambda)||_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left|\int_{t\in K} w(t)e^{it\lambda}dt\right|^p d\lambda\right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad \forall p > p_* \geq 1.$$

Then by scaling property we obtain the following formula:

$$\|w_T(\lambda)\|_p = T^{d(1-\frac{1}{p})+\beta}C_{p,w}(K),$$

and in particular

$$W^2(T) = \int_{t\in K_T} w^2(t)dt = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|w_T(\lambda)|^2\,d\lambda = \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}T^{\frac{d}{2}+\beta}C_{2,w}(K)\right]^2. \tag{39}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain that the cumulant of order $k\geq 3$ is of the form

$$I_T^{(k)} = cum_k\left\{\frac{S_T^w}{W(T)},...,\frac{S_T^w}{W(T)}\right\} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{W(T)^k}\int_{t\in K_T}...\int_{t\in K_T} w(t_1)...w(t_k)c_k(t_1-t_k,..,t_{k-1}-t_k,0)dt_1...dt_k =$$

$$= \frac{1}{W(T)^k}\int_{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_{k-1}\in\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}} w_T(\lambda_1)w_T(\lambda_2)...w_T(\lambda_{k-1})w_T(-\lambda_1-...-\lambda_{k-1})\times$$

$$\times\, f_k(\lambda_1,...,\lambda_{k-1})\,d\lambda_1...d\lambda_{k-1}, \tag{40}$$

and then applying the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality with the same reasonings as those used for derivation of the formula (13) we obtain for some $C>0$ the bound

$$\left|I_T^{(k)}\right| \leq C\frac{T^{kd(1-\frac{1}{p_1})}}{T^{\frac{kd}{2}}C_{2,w}^k(K)}C_{p_1,w}^k(K)\|f_k\|_{p_{k+1}} = CT^{-\nu}C_{p_1,w}^k(K)C_{2,w}^{-k}(K)\|f_k\|_{p_{k+1}},$$

where

$$\nu = kd\left(\frac{1}{2}-\left(1-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)\right).$$

Similar to the proof of the Theorem 1, from the condition $\nu>0$ we come to the restrictions on p_1 and p_{k+1}, and, therefore, derive the following

Theorem 4 *If Assumptions A, C, D, E and F hold, and for* $k \geq 3$

$$f_k(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}) \in L_{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}),$$

where $p_k = \frac{2(k-1)}{k-2}$. *Then, as* $T \to \infty$

$$\frac{S_T^w}{W(T)} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where $\sigma^2 = (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_2(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)$, *and the finite measure* μ *is defined in assumption E.*

This theorem can be applied to the statistical problem of estimation of unknown coefficient of linear regression observed on the increasing convex sets.

Analogously to Section 2, the invariance principle for the above situation can be considered and Theorem 4 can be extended to the analog of Theorem 3. We just point out the key steps here.

First, we note that for the monotonically increasing function $V(T) := W^2(T)$ (with $W^2(T)$ given by (38)) there exists the unique inverse function which we will denote $V^{(-1)}(T)$. Then we make the modifications in the definitions of the processes (30) and (31). The Gaussian process (30) is defined now as the process

$$L_K^w(u) = \left((2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)\right)^{1/2} \int_{t \in V^{(-1)}(u)K} db(t), \quad u \in [0,1],$$

with zero mean and the covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}L_K^w(u_1)L_K^w(u_2) = (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda) \left|V^{(-1)}(u_1)K \cap V^{(-1)}(u_2)K\right|, \, u_1, u_1 \in [0,1].$$

Instead of (31) we consider the process

$$Y_T^w(u) = \frac{1}{V(T)^{1/2}} \int_{t \in V^{(-1)}(u)K_T} w(t)X_t dt, \quad u \in [0,1]. \tag{41}$$

Basing the proof of weak compactness of measures P_T induced by the stochastic processes (41) on Kolmogorov's criterion (33), we must check now that

$$\frac{1}{V(T)^2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t \in V^{(-1)}(v)K_T \setminus V^{(-1)}(u)K_T} w(t)X_t dt\right]^4 \leq const\,|v-u|^2, \; 0 \leq u \leq v \leq 1. \tag{42}$$

The same derivations as those in Section 3 will lead to the expression for the right hand side of (42) in the form of the sum $I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$, where now the function $w(t)$ will be involved and correspondingly in the formulas (35), (36) and (37) $\Delta_{\widetilde{K}_T}(\lambda)$ will be changed for $\Delta^w_{\widetilde{K}_T}(\lambda) = \int_{t \in \widetilde{K}_T} w^2(t)dt$ with $\widetilde{K}_T$ being now of the form $\widetilde{K}_T = V^{(-1)}(v)K_T \setminus V^{(-1)}(u)K_T$.

Therefore, supposing f_2 to be bounded and $f_4 \in L_3$, we come to the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t\in V^{(-1)}(v)K_T \setminus V^{(-1)}(u)K_T} w(t)X_t dt\right]^4 \\
\leq\ & const\left\{\int_{R^d}\left|\Delta^w_{\widetilde{K}_T}(\lambda)\right|^2 d\lambda\right\}^2 \\
=\ & const\left\{\int_{R^d}\left|\int_{t\in\widetilde{K}_T} w(t)e^{it\lambda}dt\right|^2 d\lambda\right\}^2 \\
=\ & const(2\pi)^{2d}\left\{\int_{t\in\widetilde{K}_T} w^2(t)dt\right\}^2 . \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

(Note that (43) can be compared with the formula (1.8.11) in [23], which gives more general result, namely, the bounds for odd order higher moments).

Using (39) we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t\in\widetilde{K}_T} w^2(t)dt &= \int_{t\in V^{(-1)}(v)K_T \setminus V^{(-1)}(u)K_T} w^2(t)dt \\
&= V(TV^{(-1)}(v)) - V(TV^{(-1)}(u)) \\
&= T^{d+2\beta}(V(V^{(-1)}(v)) - V(V^{(-1)}(u))) \\
&= T^{d+2\beta}(v-u). \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

From (39) we know also that $V(T) = (2\pi)^{-2d}T^{d+2\beta}const$, which combined with (44) and (43) gives (42). Therefore, weak compactness of measures P_T induced by the stochastic processes (41) takes place under the conditions that the second order spectral density f_2 is bounded and the fourth order spectral density f_4 is in L_3.

Appendix A. The nonhomogeneous Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality

We have mentioned already in the introduction that the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality gives the possibility to evaluate the integrals of the form (3) under conditions on integrability indices of functions f_i.

The Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality was clarified and considerably generalized recently by Ball [7], Barthe [8], Carlen, Loss and Lieb [13], and Bennett, Carbery, Christ and Tao [10], [9], the end result being of replacing the linear functionals with surjective linear operators: $l_j(x) : S^m \to S^{n_j}, j = 1, ..., k$, with $\cap_1^k ker(l_j) = \{0\}$.

Following the remarkable exposition of [9], [10], we give the formulation of this inequality in the way the most relevant to the context of the present paper (see Theorem 2.1 of [9]).

Let H, H_1, ..., H_m be Hilbert spaces of finite positive dimensions, each being equipped with the corresponding Lebesgue measure; functins $f_j : H_j \to R$, $j = 1, ..., m$, satisfy the integrability conditions $f_j \in L_{p_j}$, $j = 1, ..., m$.

Theorem A1 below specifies, in terms of certain linear inequalities on

$$z_j = \frac{1}{p_j},\ j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

the "power counting polytope" PCP within which the Hölder inequality is valid.

Theorem A1 ***(Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality)***. *Let* $l_j(x), j = 1, ..., k$ *be surjective linear transformationss* $l_j : H^m \to H_j$, $j = 1, ..., m$. *Let* f_j, $j = 1, \ldots, k$ *be functions* $f_j \in L_{p_j}(\mu(dx))$, $1 \leq p_j \leq \infty$ *defined on* H_j, *where* $\mu(dx)$ *is Lebesgue measure.*

Then, the Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb inequality

$$(GH) \qquad \left| \int_H \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(l_j(\mathbf{x}))\mu(d\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq K \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{p_j}$$

holds if and only if

(C1) $\quad \dim(H) = \sum_j z_j \dim(H_j),$

and

(C2) $\quad \dim(V) \leq \sum_j z_j \dim(l_j(V))$, *for every subspace* $V \subset H$.

Given that (C1) holds, (C2) is equivalent to

(C3) $\quad \mathrm{codim}_H(V) \geq \sum_j z_j \mathrm{codim}_{H_j}(l_j(V))$, *for every subspace* $V \subset H$.

Here $\dim(V)$ *denotes the dimension of the vector space* V *and* $\mathrm{codim}_H(V)$ *denotes the codimension of a subspace* $V \subset H$.

Note also that any two of conditions (C1), (C2), (C3) imply the third.

Notes: 1) The domain of convergence (for fixed $(l_1, ..., l_k)$) is called "power counting polytope" PCP, cf. the terminology in the physics literature, where this polytope was already known (at least as integrability conditions for power functions), in the case $n_j = 1, \forall j$. Note that a general explicit form of the facets of PCP when $n_j > 1$ for some j, has not been found yet.

2) Besides the rearrangement techniques of [14], this challenging problem has been also approached recently via "mass transport interpolation" by [8] and via "heat flow interpolation" by [16].

3) Some related an interesting inequalities and an application to an analysis of integrals involving cyclic products of kernels can be found in [18].

Acknowledgments

We thank the referee for constructive remarks and suggestions which helped to improve the presentation.

References

[1] Avram, F., On Bilinear Forms in Gaussian Random Variables and Toeplitz Matrices. Probability Theory and Related Fields 79, 37–45 (1988)

[2] Avram, F., Brown, L.: A Generalized Hölder Inequality and a Generalized Szegö Theorem. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 107, 687–695 (1989)

[3] Avram, F., Taqqu, M.S.: Hölder's Inequality for Functions of Linearly Dependent Arguments. SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 20, 1484–1489 (1989a)

[4] Avram, F.: Generalized Szegö Theorems and asymptotics of cumulants by graphical methods. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 330, 637–649 (1992)

[5] Avram, F., Fox, R.: Central limit theorems for sums of Wick products of stationary sequences. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 330, 651–663 (1992)

[6] Avram, F, Leonenko, N. and Sakhno, L: On the generalized Szegö theorem, Hölder-Young-Brascamp-Lieb Inequality and the asymptotic theory of integrals and quadratic forms of stationary fields, ESAIM: Probablity and Statistics, 14, 210-255, (2010)

[7] Ball, K.: Volume ratios and a reverse isoperimetric inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 44, 351–359 (1991)

[8] Barthe, F.: On a reverse form of the Brascamp-Lieb inequality. Inventiones Mathematicae 134, 335–361 (2005)

[9] Bennett, J., Carbery, A., Christ, M., Tao, T.: Finite bounds for Hölder-Brascamp-Lieb multilinear inequalities, Math. Res. Lett. 17, N4, 647-666 (2010)

[10] Bennett, J., Carbery, A., Christ, M., Tao, T.: The Brascamp-Lieb inequalities: finiteness, structure and extremals, GAFA, Geom. func. anal., 17, 1343-1415 (2007)

[11] Bentkus, R., Rutkauskas, R. On the asymptotics of the first two moments of second order spectral estimators. Liet. mat. Rink. 13(1), 29-45 (1973)

[12] Billingsley, P. Convergence of Probability Measures, Wiley, New York, 1968.

[13] Brascamp, H.J., Lieb, E. H., Lutinger, J.M. A general rearrangement inequality for multiple integrals, Journal of Functional Analysis 17, 227–237 (1974)

[14] Brascamp, H.J., Lieb, E. Best constants in Young's inequality, its converse, and its generalization to more than three functions. Advances in Math. 20, 151–173 (1976)

[15] Breuer, P., Major, P. Central limit theorems for nonlinear functionals of gaussian fields. J. Multiv. Anal. 13 425 - 441(1983)

[16] Carlen, E.A., Lieb E.H. and Loss, M. A sharp analog of Young's inequality on S^n and related entropy inequalities. Jour. of Geom. Anal. 14, 487–520 (2004)

[17] Friedgut, E. Hypergraphs, entropy, and inequalities, Amer. Math. Monthly 111, no. 9, 749-760 (2004)

[18] Buldigin, V., Utzel, F. and Zaiatz, V.: A note on the application of integrals involving cyclic products of kernels, Questio, 26, 1-2, 3-14 (2002).

[19] Ginovian, M.S. On Toeplitz type quadratic functionals of stationary Gaussian processes. Probability Theory and Related Fields 100, 395–406 (1994)

[20] Grenander, V., Szegö, G.: Toeplitz forms and their applications, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley (1958)

[21] Herz, C.S. Fourier transforms related to convex sets, Ann. Math., 75, N1, 81-92 (1962)

[22] Howard, R., Schep, A.R. Norms of positive operators on L_p spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109, 135-146 (1990)

[23] Ivanov, A.V., Leonenko N.N. Statistical Analysis of Random Processes. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1989).

[24] Leonenko, N.N. and Yadrenko, M.I. On the invariance principle for homogeneous and isotropic random fields, Theory Probab. Appl., 24, N1, 175-181 (1979).

[25] Lieb, E. H. Gaussian kernels have only Gaussian maximizers, Invent. Math. 102, 179-208 (1990)

[26] Loomis, L. H. and Whitney, H. An inequality related to the isoperimetric inequality, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc 55, 961-962 (1949).

[27] Malyshev, V. A.: Cluster expansions in lattice models of statistical physics and the quantum theory of fields. Russian Mathematical Surveys, 35(2), 1–62 (1980)

[28] Nourdin, I. and Peccati G. Stein's method and exact Berry-Esseen asymptotics for functionals of Gaussian fields, The Annals of Probability, 37, N6, 2231-2261 (2009).

[29] Nualart, D. and Peccati, G. Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals, The Annals of Probability, 33, N1, 177-193 (2005).

[30] Sadikova, S.M. Some inequalities for characteristic functions, Theory Probab. Appl., 11, 441-448 (1966)

[31] Stein, E.M. Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature and oscillatory integrals, Proc. Intern. Congress of Mathem., Berkley, 196-220 (1986).